

Object Potentiality

(Architecture theory, Philosophy, Web network of new ideas and solutions, Strategy and Military) —

“The Pen is mightier than the sword” they say, yet we have so many pens. The idea of quantity vs quality echoes through this statement in which is a shouting statement that we should look at the potentiality of our singular object rather than the quantification. Like of a US Marine being loyal to his or her rifle in which their relation would go through as much as the yielder’s personal safety, we do not need 2 or more rifles if we are a master of one.

It is an object. But the potentials are always there. We tend to think we need the best gear to achieve the level of a professional, but we tend to forget that a tool’s potential is varied by its yielder. The Mosin Nagant M28 to M30 that Simo Hayha used, in which he still tops the most confirmed sniper kills of 505 during the Winter War of 1939 to 1940. It was his skill and his gun preference, not the technology of his gun.

We tend to shrug off the most minutiae of objects to the garbage, yet the heart and soul of possibly its creation came through a multiplicity of processes. To understand the object’s potentiality; I stand to ask, how good can you be with what you have currently? — to vanish the idea of a self-excuse of relying on the best model to succeed, we can be skillfully better rather than be technologically reliant of our skillsets.

Skills are divorced from technology. Yet, skills may hinder if too technologically reliant.

-C. Lance Rellve (2018)